

ORIGINAL

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY LAW GENTER EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION

Douglas L, Parker Director

Hope M. Babcock Associate Director Environmental Law Project

Angela J. Campbell Associate Director Citizens Communications Center Project Michael D. Beach Sheila A. Bedi Christopher R. Day Lawrence M. Levine Amy R. Wolverton Fellows

RECEIVED

600 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Suite 312 Washington, DC 20001-2075 Telephone: 202-662-9535 TDD: 202-662-9538 Fax: 202-662-9634

JUN 1 7 2002

PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

June 17, 2002

#### <u>VIA HAND DELIVERY</u>

Marlene Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Ex Parte Presentation

CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200,

95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 17, 2002, the undersigned met with Paul Garnett of the Commission's Wireline Competition Bureau to deliver the attached materials, which are being submitted in connection with the Commission's June 21, 2002, meeting regarding possible changes to the universal service contribution methodologies.

Pursuant to the Commission's ex parte rules, an original and 13 copies of this letter and attachment are being filed. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher R. Day

Attachment

cc: Matthew Brill, Office of Commissioner Abernathy

Paul Garnett, WCB

No. el Copies recid

List ABCOE

# THE CASE AGAINST CONNECTION-BASED USF ASSESSMENT

Consumers Union
Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel
Consumer Federation of America
Appalachian People's Action Counsel
Center for Digital Democracy
Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition
Migrant Legal Action Program

Written Presentation for FCC Public Meeting

Panel 1: Contribution Assessment Methodologies

June 21, 2002

### A Connection-Based USF Fee Violates Section 254(d) of the 1996 Act

- A Connection-Based Fee Eliminates Contribution Requirements for Many Interexchange Carriers
  - Section 254(d) specifically states that "[e]very telecommunications carrier" providing interstate services shall contribute to the fund.
  - The connection-based proposal, however, would allow a number of interexchange carriers to completely avoid any contribution responsibility, in clear violation of Section 254(d).
- A Connection-Based Fee Is Not "Equitable and Nondiscriminatory"
  - Section 254(d) also requires that any USF assessment be "equitable and nondiscriminatory."
  - A connection-based fee levies the same assessment on both "high-end" and "low-use" customers, and plainly discriminates against low-use and low-income customers.

## The CoSus Connection-Based Fee Proposal Will Hurt Low-Income and Low-Use Customers

- The Flat \$1.00 USF Connection Fee Proposed By the Coalition for Sustainable Universal Service ("CoSus") Will Disproportionately Harm Low-Income and Low-Use Consumers
  - The CoSus proposal assumes that carriers would add at least a \$0.10 "administrative fee" in addition to the \$1.00 connection-based USF fee. Under this scenario, low-use customers would pay more in "USF recovery" under the connection-based proposal in all but 2 of the 18 calling plans studied. (See Attachment 1).
  - In addition, it is critical to note that the CoSus plan provides no cap for "excess" USF recovery or "administrative fees" that carriers could levy in addition to the proposed \$1.00 connection-based fee. Accordingly, if carriers levied a \$0.25 "administrative fee" in addition to the \$1.00 connection charge, low-use customers would pay more under the connection-based proposal than the currently do in all but 1 of the 18 calling plans studied. (See Attachment 2). Furthermore, if a \$0.51 "administrative fee" is charged, customers would pay more under the connection-based proposal than they do under any of the calling plans studied. (See Attachment 3).
- Even the CoSus statistics note that low-income consumers will pay more under their connection-based USF proposal than under the current revenue-based system. The CoSus data submitted in initial comments admits that 62% of all households with incomes below \$15,000 a year will pay more under the connection-based proposal, and that 58% of households making between \$15,000 and \$30,000 will pay more. (See CoSus Comments, Attachment 2 at 6, Table 1).




#### **Consumer Friendly USF Reform Proposals**

- The Basic Revenue-Based USF Assessment System Should Be Retained.
- Current USF "Safe Harbors," Such as the Wireless "Safe Harbor" Should Be Re-Examined in Light of Changing Market Conditions.
- The Commission Should Study Changes to the Current Assessment System That Would Eliminate the USF Assessment "Lag."
- The Commission Should Prohibit Customer "Pass-Through" of Carrier USF Assessments or, In the Alternative, Limit Carrier Recovery to the Actual Amount of the Applicable USF Assessment Factor to Protect Consumers From Abusive Carrier USF Recovery Practices.

#### ATTACHMENT 1

## CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE 0f \$1.10

																			<u> </u>		
-				Current	Proposed USF	oposed USF Total		Act	ual	Cui	rrent	Cur	rent	Tot	al	Actual		Annualized		Annualized	
o design		Advertised		USF%	Connectivity	End	l-User	Per	-Minute	USF	=	USF	•	Enc	i-User	Per	-Minute	USF	Increase	USF	- Increase
		Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost Per	Cos	sts For	Rate	e For	Cos	sts For	Cos	ts For	Cos	sts For	Rat	e For	for I	Low-Use	For	AveUse
	Plan	Rate	Fee	LEC	Month	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	29	Minutes	58	Minutes	58	Minutes	58	Minutes	Cus	stomers	Cus	stomers
	One Rate					1									•						
	Plus Plan	0.07	\$3.95	11.50%	\$ 1.10	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$	0.15	\$	(1.17)	\$	(3.97)
ř	Anytime											1									
	Advantage				]																
	Savings																				
	Option	0.07	\$2.95	9.90%	\$ 1.10	\$	5.47	\$	0. <u>19</u>	\$	0.49	\$	0.69	\$	7.70	\$	0.13	\$	1.16	\$	(1.25)
			\$5.95			\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	(2.40)	\$	(4.81)
3	Anytime	0.07	to	9.90%	\$ 1.10																
			\$0.00			\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	\$	0.40	\$	4.46	\$	0.08	\$	4.67	\$	2.26
	Everdial1	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	5.50	\$	3.93
2	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	5.75	\$	4.42
											-										
		İ																		Ī	
_	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	6.90%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$	0.05	\$	5.90	\$	4.73
	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	9.25%		\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$	0.05	\$	5.50	\$	3.93
¥	N/A	0.054	\$0.00	9.90%	\$ 1.10	\$	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.31	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$	5.22	\$	3.36
			\$ 2.00	i		\$	3.44	\$	0.12	\$	0.31	\$	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	3.40	\$	2.07
Ç.	N/A	0.039	to	9.80%	\$ 1.10																
			\$0.00			\$	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	\$_	0.22	\$	2.48	\$	0.04	\$	5.75	\$	4.42
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.24	\$	0.33	\$	5.18	\$	0.09	\$	4.25	\$	3.07
	N/A	0.049		6.90%	\$ 1.10	1															
			\$0.00			\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10		0.20	\$	3.04		0.05	\$	5.90	\$	4.73
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	\$	4.03	\$	2.82
	N/A	0.045		7.70%	\$ 1.10	١.				١.											
The same of the sa			\$0.00			.\$	1.41	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	<del></del>	0.20	\$	2.81	\$	0.05	\$	<u>5.87</u>	\$	4.67
R.		i	\$ 2.50			\$	4.31	\$	0.15	\$	0.39	\$	0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	\$	2.42	\$	0.73
34		0.049	to	9.90%	\$ 1.10	1												1			
	N/A		\$0.00			\$	1.56	\$	0.05	\$	0.14	\$	0.28	\$	3.12	_	0.05	\$	5.39	\$	3.70
atr	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	\$ 1.10	\$	2.24	\$	0.08	\$	0.24	\$	0.48	\$	4.48	\$	0.08	\$	4.20	\$	1.32



#### ATTACHMENT 2

#### CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS

COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE OF \$1.25 Current Proposed USF | Total Actual Current Current Total Actual Annualized Annualized USF% LISE Advertised Connectivity End-User Per-Minute luse End-User Per-Minute USF Increase USF Increase Cost Per For Ave.-Use Per-Minute Monthly & \$0.51 Costs For Rate For Costs For Costs For Costs For Rate For for Low-Use Plan Rate LEC 29 Minutes 29 Minutes 29 Minutes 58 Minutes Fee Month 58 Minutes 58 Minutes Customers Customers One Rate Plus Plan 0.07 \$3.95 11.50% \$ 1.25 \$ 6.67 \$ 0.23 \$ 0.69 0.92 8.93 0.15 0.63 \$ (2.17) **Anytime** Advantage Savings Option 0.07 \$2.95 9.90% \$ 1.25 5.47 0.19 0.49 0.69 7.70 0.13 2.96 0.55 8.77 \$5.95 0.30 0.79 0.99 11.00 0.19 (0.60)(3.01)9.90% \$ 1.25 Anytime 0.07 to \$0.00 2.23 0.08 \$ 0.20 4.46 0.08 6.47 4.06 0.40 \$ Everdial1 0.049 \$0.00 9.25% \$ 1.25 \$ 3.10 \$ Ś 7.30 5.73 1.55 \$ 0.05 \$ 0.13 0.26 0.05 \$ N/A 0.045 8.50% \$ 1.25 \$ 1.42 \$ 0.05 0.05 7.55 \$0.00 \$ 0.11 0.22 2.83 6.22 N/A 6.90% **\$** 0.049 \$0.00 1.25 1.52 0.05 \$ 0.10 0.20 3.04 0.05 7.70 6.53 N/A 0.049 \$0.00 9.25% \$ 1.25 \$ 1.55 \$ 0.05 \$ 0.13 \$ 0.26 \$ 3.10 \$ 0.05 \$ 7.30 \$ 5.73 N/A 9.90% \$ 1.25 | \$ 0.054 \$0.00 1.72 0.06 \$ 3.44 7.02 5.16 \$ \$ 0.16 0.31 \$ \$ 0.06 : \$ Ŝ \$2.00 0.12 3.44 0.31 0.42 4.68 0.08 5.20 \$ 3.87 N/A 9.80% S 0.039 to 1.25 \$0.00 \$ 1.24 0.04 \$ 0.11 0.22 \$ 2.48 0.04 7.55 6.22 \$2.00 3.66 0.13 0.335.18 \$ 0.24 0.09 6.05 4.87 6.90% \$ N/A 0.049 to 1.25 \$0.00 0.05 1.52 \$ \$ 0.10 0.20 3.04 0.05 7.70 6.53 \$2.00 3.56 \$ 0.12 4.96 \$ 0.25 0.35 \$ \$ 0.09 5.83 4.62 N/A 0.045to 7.70% \$ 1.25 \$0.00 0.05 1.41 \$ 0.10 0.20 2.81 0.05 7.67 6.47 \$2.50 \$ 0.15 4.31 0.39 0.53 5.87 0.10 4.22 2.53 9.90% \$ 0.049 to 1.25 N/A \$0.00 1.56 \$ 0.05 \$ 0.14 \$ 0.28 \$ 3.12 \$ 0.05 \$ 7.19 \$ 5.50 N/A 12% \$ 1.25 0.0691 \$0.00 \$ 2.24 \$ 0.08 \$ \$ \$ \$

0.24

0.48

\$

4.48

0.08 l

6.00

3.12

#### **ATTACHMENT 3**

## CURRENT USF COSTS FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL LONG DISTANCE CUSTOMERS COMPARED WITH ANNUALIZED COST FOR LOW-USE AND AVERAGE-USE RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ASSESSED A CONNECTIVITY FEE OF \$1.51

				Current	Proposed USF	roposed USF Total		Actual		Current		Current		Total		Actual		Annualized		Annualized		
		Advertised		USF%	Connectivity	End-	End-User P		Per-Minute		USF		USF		End-User		Per-Minute		USF Increase		USF Increase	
		Per-Minute	Monthly	& \$0.51	Cost Per	Cos	ts For	Rate	e For	Cos	ts For	Cost	s For	Co	sts For	Rat	te For	for I	Low-Use	For A	AveUse	
Residence	Plan	Rate	Fee	LEC _	Month	29 N	dinutes	29 (	Minutes	ا 29	Minutes	58 N	/linutes	58	Minutes	58_	Minutes	Cus	tomers	Cust	omers	
	One Rate																					
A	Plus Plan	0.07	\$3.95	11.50%	\$ 1.51	\$	6.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.69	\$	0.92	\$	8.93	\$_	0.15	\$	3.75	\$	0.95	
	Anytime																					
State of the state	Advantage																					
Ì	Savings											i										
	Option	0.07	\$2.95	9.90%	\$ 1.51	\$	5.47	\$	0.19	\$	0.49	\$	0.69	\$	7.70	\$	0.13	\$	6.08	\$	3.67	
			\$ 5.95			\$	8.77	\$	0.30	\$	0.79	\$	0.99	\$	11.00	\$	0.19	\$	2.52	\$	0.11	
\$1	Anytime	0.07	to	9.90%	\$ 1.51																	
Š.			\$0.00			\$	2.23	\$	0.08	\$	0.20	\$	0.40	\$	4.46	\$	0.08	\$	9.59	\$	7.18	
	Everdial1	0.049		9.25%		\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$		\$	0.05	\$	10.42	\$	8.85	
4	N/A	0.045	\$0.00	8.50%	\$ 1.51	\$	1.42	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.83	\$	0.05	\$	10.67	\$	9.34	
																					1	
														İ								
ğ	N/A	0.049	\$0.00	6.90%		\$	1.52	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$_	0.05	\$	10.82	\$	9.65	
<b>호</b>	N/A	0.049		9.25%		_\$	1.55	\$	0.05	\$	0.13	\$	0.26	\$	3.10	\$_	0.05	\$	10.42	\$	8.85	
<u>Q</u>	N/A	0.054		9.90%	\$ 1.51	\$	1.72	\$	0.06	\$	0.16	\$	0.31	\$	3.44	\$	0.06	\$	10.14	\$	8.28	
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.44	\$	0.12	\$	0.31	\$	0.42	\$	4.68	\$	0.08	\$	8.32	\$	6.99	
	N/A	0.039	- 1	9.80%	\$ 1.51																1	
			\$0.00			\$	1.24	\$	0.04	\$	0.11	\$	0.22	\$	2.48	\$_	0.04	\$	10.67	\$	9.34	
			\$ 2.00			\$	3.66	\$	0.13	\$	0.24	\$	0.33	\$	5.18	\$	0.09	\$	9.17	\$	7.99	
101	N/A	0.049		6.90%	\$ 1.51																	
in the second			\$0.00			\$	1.52	\$_	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	3.04	\$_	0.05	\$	10.82	\$	9.65	
			\$2.00			\$	3.56	\$	0.12	\$	0.25	\$	0.35	\$	4.96	\$	0.09	\$	8.95	\$	7.74	
Jan 1	N/A	0.045	to	7.70%	\$ 1.51																	
			\$0.00			\$	1.41	\$		\$	0.10	\$	0.20	\$	2.81	\$_	0.05	\$	10.79	\$	9.59	
21			\$ 2.50	ļ		\$	4.31	\$	0.15	\$	0.39	\$	0.53	\$	5.87	\$	0.10	\$	7.34	\$	5.65	
0		0.049		9.90%	\$ 1.51																•	
	N/A		\$0.00			3	1.56	\$	0.05	\$	0.14	\$	0.28	\$	3.12	\$_	0.05	\$	10.31	\$	8.62	
tre	N/A	0.069	\$0.00	12%	\$ 1.51	_\$	2.24	\$	0.08	\$	0.24	\$	0.48	\$	4.48	\$_	0.08	\$	9.12	\$	6.24	